#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval

# NaturalGas

#### **Company Information**

Company Name: Kinder Morgan

Gas STAR Contact: Thomas Bach

Title Director, EHS

Address: 370 Van Gordon St.

City: Lakewood

State:

Zip: 80228-8304

Phone: 303-914-7842

Fax: 303-984-3496

E-mail: thomas\_bach@kindermorgan.com

Company Information Updated: No

**Activities Reported** 

BMP1: Yes BMP2: Yes BMP3: No BMP4: Yes

Total Methane Emission Reductions Reported This Year: 1,802,817

Previous Years' Activities Reported: Yes

#### **Period Covered by Report**

From: 01/01/2012	To: 12/31/2012	
I hereby certify the accuracy of the data contained in	n this report.	
Additional Comments		

#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval



BMP1: Directed Inspection and Maintenance at Compressor Stations

#### **Current Year Activities**

A. Facility/location identifier information:

All

B. Leak Summary

Number of surveys at this facility for reporting period: 9 surveys

Total number of leaks found this reporting period: 9 found

Total number of leaks repaired: 9 repaired

C. Cost Summary

Total cost of surveys conducted this reporting period: \$ 0

Total cost of leak repairs: \$ 639

**D. Methane Emissions Reduction** 

Method Used: Actual field measurement

Methane Emissions Reduction: 42 Mcf/year

# **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval

# NaturalGas /

#### E. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$ 210

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 5.00

#### F. Planned Future Activities

Do you plan to survey this facility/location next year?

Yes

#### **Previous Years' Activities**

Year	Total Cost of Surveys (\$)	Total Cost of Repairs (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

# **Additional Comments**

The numbers entered above are for one compressor station surveyed in 2012.

#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval



BMP2: Use of Turbines at Compressor Stations

**Current Year Activities** 

A. Facility/location identifier information:

B.Turk	oine	Summary
--------	------	---------

**B.** Reciprocating Summary

Number of reciprocating engines retired this reporting period: 0 engines

**D.** Equipment Description

Turbines:

Reciprocating Engines:

E. Methane Emissions Reduction

Method Used: Other

Data Source: Not Applicable

Methane Emissions Reduction: 21,608 Mcf/year

Reciproc	ating Engines Retir	ed		Turbines Install	ed
# Engines Retired of this type	Emission rate per MMcf of fuel used	Fuel consumption (MMcf/hour)	# Turbines Installed of this type	Emission rate per MMcf of fuel used	Fuel consumption (MMcf/hour)

#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval



#### F. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

One-year ✓ Multi-year

If Multi-year: Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission

reductions based on sunset date duration (BMP 2 has a sunset period of 20 years).

✓ Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

#### G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: 151,256

\$ / Mcf used: 7.00

#### **H. Planned Future Activities**

Number of turbines to be installed next year:

Number of reciprocating engines to be retired next year:

#### **Previous Years' Activities**

Year	# Turbines	Total Cost *	# Reciprocating	<b>Estimated Reductions</b>	Value of Gas	
1 cai	Installed	(\$)	<b>Engines Retired</b>	(Mcf/Yr)	Saved (\$)	
1993	1		0	21,608	151,256	

#### **Additional Comments**

1993 turbine installation; As of 2012 there is 1 year left on the 20 year sunset

<sup>\*</sup> Total cost of installation (including equipment and labor)

#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval



BMP2: Use of Turbines at Compressor Stations

**Current Year Activities** 

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Lockport

B.	T	ur	bir	ıe	Su	m	m	a	rv
----	---	----	-----	----	----	---	---	---	----

Number of turbines installed this reporting period: 2 turbines

Total cost of turbine installations (equipment and labor): \$2,014,560

**B.** Reciprocating Summary

Number of reciprocating engines retired this reporting period: engines

**D.** Equipment Description

Turbines: Replacement of 2 SOLAR turbines on Units 2A and 3A with new SOLAR C-50 15ppm SoLoNOx

50ppm drivers; 6130hp each

Reciprocating Engines:

#### E. Methane Emissions Reduction

Method Used: Calculation using default

Data Source: Not Applicable

Methane Emissions Reduction: 25,131 Mcf/year

Reciproc	ating Engines Retir	ed		Turbines Instal	led
# Engines Retired of this type	Emission rate per MMcf of fuel used	Fuel consumption (MMcf/hour)	# Turbines Installed of this type	Emission rate per MMcf of fuel used	Fuel consumption (MMcf/hour)

#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval



#### F. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

One-year ✓ Multi-year

If Multi-year: 

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission

reductions based on sunset date duration (BMP 2 has a sunset period of 20 years).

Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.

#### G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: 175,917

\$ / Mcf used: 7.00

#### **H. Planned Future Activities**

Number of turbines to be installed next year:

Number of reciprocating engines to be retired next year:

#### **Previous Years' Activities**

<b>X</b> 7	# Turbines	Total Cost *	# Reciprocating	<b>Estimated Reductions</b>	Value of Gas	
Year	Installed	(\$)	<b>Engines Retired</b>	(Mcf/Yr)	Saved (\$)	

#### **Additional Comments**

<sup>\*</sup> Total cost of installation (including equipment and labor)

#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

#### **Current Year Activities**

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Station 260A Southwick MA

#### **B.** Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Install electric compressors (10 years)

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Installation of one 2000 hp electric motor driven booster station

#### C. Level of Implementation

Number of units installed: 1 units

#### **D.** Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 4,220 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: Other

Calculated using emission factor of 2.11 Mcf/year per horsepower (from PRO Fact Sheet No. 105)

#### E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

One-year 

✓ Multi-year

#### If Multi-year:

√ Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

NaturalGas 💧

# **Annual Report 2012**

#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval

#### F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$14,659,747

**G. Total Value of Gas Saved**Value of Gas Saved: \$29,540

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

#### H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: see additional comments

#### **Previous Years' Activities**

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
				1

<sup>\*</sup> Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

#### **Additional Comments**

2013 PRO implementation: Report gas savings associated with the installation of electric motor driven compression either as new gas compression equipment or for replacement of existing reciprocating internal combustion engine driven gas compression equipment



#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval Natural Gas Natural Gas

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

#### **Current Year Activities**

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Ellerslie #9; Harris County GA

#### **B.** Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Install electric compressors (10 years)

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Installation of 7,000 hp EMD of compression

#### C. Level of Implementation

Number of units installed: 1 units

#### **D. Methane Emissions Reduction**

Methane Emissions Reduction: 14,770 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: Other

Calculated using emission factor of 2.11 Mcf/year per horsepower (from PRO Fact Sheet No. 105)

# E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year Multi-year

## If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

NaturalGas 💧

# **Annual Report 2012**

#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval

# F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$16,039,517

G. Total Value of Gas Saved
Value of Gas Saved: \$103,39

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

#### H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: see additional comments below

#### **Previous Years' Activities**

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
				1

<sup>\*</sup> Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

#### **Additional Comments**

2013 PRO implementation: Report gas savings associated with the installation of electric motor driven compression either as new gas compression equipment or for replacement of existing reciprocating internal combustion engine driven gas compression equipment

#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval



BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

#### **Current Year Activities**

#### A. Facility/location identifier information:

ALL

#### **B.** Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

#### Reduce/downgrade system pressure

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Reduced pressure in pipeline prior to blowdown

#### C. Level of Implementation

Other: 85 times in 2012

#### **D. Methane Emissions Reduction**

Methane Emissions Reduction: 2,316 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: Actual field measurement

# E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year Multi-year

## If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

# **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval

# F. Cost Summary Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$\[ \] \$\_\_\_\_\_

# G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$ 16,978

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.33

#### **H. Planned Future Activities**

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: Same, as practical

#### **Previous Years' Activities**

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
				1

May 01, 2013

#### **Additional Comments**

NaturalGas 🧥

<sup>\*</sup> Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

#### **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval NaturalGas

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

#### **Current Year Activities**

#### A. Facility/location identifier information:

ALL

#### **B.** Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Use pipeline pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

Rented reserve compressors to reinject gas back into system instead of venting to atmosphere

#### C. Level of Implementation

Other: 80 times in 2012

#### **D. Methane Emissions Reduction**

Methane Emissions Reduction: 1,734,730 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: Actual field measurement

# E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year Multi-year

## If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

NaturalGas A

# **Annual Report 2012**

# **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval

#### F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$3,145,799

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$ 6,938,920

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 4.00

#### **H. Planned Future Activities**

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: Same, as practical

#### **Previous Years' Activities**

Year	Frequency of practice/activity or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

<sup>\*</sup> Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

#### **Additional Comments**

# **Transmission Sector**

OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Pending OMB Approval

**Additional Accomplishments** 

